

Monday, October 31, 2005

# Part II

# Regulatory Information Service Center

Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions

#### DHS-USCG

60. VALIDATION OF MERCHANT MARINERS' VITAL INFORMATION AND ISSUANCE OF COAST GUARD MERCHANT MARINER'S LICENSES AND CERTIFICATES OF REGISTRY (USCG-2004-17455)

#### **Priority:**

Other Significant

#### **Legal Authority:**

46 USC 2103; DHS Delegation No. 0170.1, para (92)

#### **CFR Citation:**

46 CFR 10

#### Legal Deadline:

None

#### Abstract:

This rule would impose certain security-related requirements in order to obtain a license or certificate of registry. Applicants would be required to appear in person at least once during the application process, to provide two acceptable forms of identification, and be fingerprinted by Coast Guard personnel.

## Statement of Need:

A Coast Guard-issued license authorizes its holder to serve in the capacity of vessel's officer allowing him or her to assume positions of responsibility in the command and control of merchant marine vessels. The harm that can be caused by persons who wrongfully obtain licenses with the intention of committing crimes or terrorist acts jeopardizes mariner safety and welfare, as well as national security. Our goal is to protect the licensing process from abuse. We recently identified several omissions and ambiguities in the former rule that could facilitate licensing abuse. This interim rule corrects those omissions and clarifies those ambiguities to promote maritime safety and security within the United States.

# **Summary of Legal Basis:**

In the interests of marine safety and seamen's welfare, the Coast Guard was given general superintendence of merchant marine personnel by 46 U.S.C. 2103, 46 U.S.C. chapter 71, and Secretary of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. In 2002, Congress found that U.S. ports are susceptible to large-scale acts of terrorism that could cause a large loss of life or economic disruption, that "ports are often a major locus of Federal crime," (Maritime

Transportation Security Act of 2002, section 101, Public Law 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064) and that it is in the best interest of the United States to increase port security. This rulemaking aligns with a similar interim rule for Merchant Mariner's Documents (MMD) published on 6 January 2004.

#### Alternatives:

We considered non regulatory alternatives such as Navigation and Vessel Inspection Circulars and Marine Safety Manual Guidance, however, while these can be used for the development of policy and directives that provide guidance for the implementation of a regulation, they do not lay a sufficient legal basis for the Coast Guard to deny issuance of these credentials. We considered issuing an NPRM but believe we have sufficient good cause to go forward with an Interim Rule.

#### **Anticipated Cost and Benefits:**

This interim rule will affect mariners who apply for licenses and CORs. We estimate that the annual cost of this rulemaking will be \$16 million. A detailed regulatory evaluation will be published in the preamble of the interim rule.

We anticipate several qualitative benefits from the new requirements established by this rule. All applicants for licenses and CORs will be required to have their fingerprints taken by Coast Guard personnel at an REC and will be required to have their ID checked by Coast Guard personnel at an REC. In the past, applicants could have had their fingerprints taken and their identity checked by outside entities and submitted them by mail without a guarantee of accuracy or validity. The cumulative effect of the changes in this rulemaking will be to increase the likelihood that the Coast Guard will process applications only from, and issue credentials only to, applicants who can prove they are who they claim to be, and whose backgrounds can be verified to make sure they meet security-related requirements.

# Risks:

This rulemaking is intended to reduce the vulnerability of a transportation security incident occurring in US ports and waterways resulting from merchant mariners who fraudulently obtain licenses and CORs. These licenses and CORs could potentially be used to fraudulently portray ones self as a deck, engineer or staff officer.

#### Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Rule	11/00/05	

# Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:

No

#### **Small Entities Affected:**

Nο

#### **Government Levels Affected:**

None

### **Agency Contact:**

Gerald P. Miante Project Manager, G-MSO-1 Department of Homeland Security U.S. Coast Guard 2100 Second Street SW. Washington, DC 20593-0001 Phone: 202 267-0221

**RIN:** 1625–AA85

#### **DHS-USCG**

61. ● VESSEL REQUIREMENTS FOR NOTICES OF ARRIVAL AND DEPARTURE, AND CARRIAGE OF AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (USCG-2005-21869)

#### **Priority:**

Other Significant. Major status under 5 USC 801 is undetermined.

#### **Legal Authority:**

33 USC 1223, 1225, 1231; 46 USC 3716, 8502 and Chapter 701; Sec. 102 of Pub. L. 107–295

#### **CFR Citation:**

33 CFR 160; 33 CFR 161; 33 CFR 164

#### **Legal Deadline:**

None

# Abstract:

This rulemaking would expand the applicability for Notice of Arrival and Departure (NOAD) and Automatic Identification System (AIS) requirements. These expanded requirements would better enable the Coast Guard to correlate vessel AIS data with NOAD data, enhance our ability to identify and track vessels, detect anomalies, improve navigation safety, and heighten our overall maritime domain awareness.

The NOAD portion of this rulemaking would expand the applicability of the NOAD regulations by changing the minimum size of vessels covered below the current 300 gross tons, require that a notice of departure be submitted for

all vessels required to submit a notice of arrival, and mandate electronic submission of NOAD notices to the National Vessel Movement Center.

The AIS portion of the rulemaking would expand our AIS carriage requirements to all commercial vessels Congress specifically identified in the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, and would include vessels carrying 50 or more passengers, vice the current 150 or more passengers for hire, carrying or towing certain dangerous cargo, certain dredges, and certain high speed passenger craft.

#### Statement of Need:

We do not have a current mechanism in place to capture vessel, crew, passenger, or specific cargo information on vessels less than or equal to 300 gross tons (GT) intending to arrive at or depart from U.S. ports unless they are arriving with certain dangerous cargo (CDC) or are arriving at a port in the 7th Coast Guard District. The lack of NOA information on this large and diverse population of vessels represents a substantial gap in our maritime domain awareness (MDA). We can minimize this gap and enhance MDA by expanding the applicability of the NOAD regulation beyond vessels greater than 300 GT, cover all foreign commercial vessels, more U.S. commercial vessels, and all U,S. commercial vessels coming from a foreign port; and enhance maritime domain awareness by tracking them (and others) with AIS. There is no current Coast Guard requirement for vessels to submit notification of departure information. In order to expand our MDA this information is necessary.

#### **Summary of Legal Basis:**

This rulemaking is based on Congressional authority provided in the Ports and Waterways Safety Act and the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002.

#### **Alternatives:**

Our goal is to increase MDA and to identify anomalies by correlating vessel AIS data with NOAD data. NOAD and AIS information from a greater number of vessels would provide even greater MDA than the proposed interim rule. We considered expanding NOAD and AIS to even more vessels, but we determined we needed additional legislative authority to expand AIS beyond what we propose in this rulemaking; and that it was best to combine additional NOAD expansion with future AIS expansion.

Although not in conjunction with a proposed rule, the Coast Guard sought comment regarding expansion of AIS carriage to other waters and other vessels not subject to the current requirements (68 FR 39355-56, and 39370, July 1, 2003;. USCG 2003-14878). Those comments were reviewed and considered in drafting this rule and will become part of this docket.

To fulfill our agency obligations, the Coast Guard needs to receive AIS reports and NOADs from vessels identified in this rulemaking that currently are not required to provide this information. Policy or other non-binding statements by the Coast Guard addressed to the owners of these vessels would not produce the information required to sufficiently enhance our MDA to produce the information required to fulfill our agency obligations.

# Anticipated Cost and Benefits:

We expect vessel owners to incur costs from the additional NOA requirements in order to comply with the mandatory requirement of submitting notices by utilizing the Coast Guard's electronic Notice of Arrival and Departure (eNOAD) system.

Currently, vessels greater than 300 gross tons, foreign commercial and recreational vessels less than 300 gross tons entering the 7th Coast Guard District, and all vessels carrying certain dangerous cargoes (CDCs) are required to submit NOAs.

This rulemaking will expand the applicability of NOADs to include all foreign commercial vessels, regardless of tonnage, more U.S. commercial vessels, and all U.S. commercial vessels arriving from a foreign port.

From the Coast Guard's database, we believe that we have an accurate estimate of the number of vessels greater than 300 gross tons submitting NOAs and the approximate number of voyages they make. These vessels are currently required to submit NOAs and will be required to submit NOAs/NODs through a mandatory submission method. Approximately 20,000 vessels greater than 300 gross tons, with foreign vessels comprising nearly 17,000 of this amount, and U.S. vessels comprising the balance, are currently affected. We, however cannot at this time provide an estimate of the number of vessels less than 300 gross tons that will be affected by this rulemaking or the number of U.S. vessels coming from a foreign port since these vessels are not required to report nor do we have an effective means to capture this

information. We will determine the affected population and include that information in the detailed regulatory analysis.

For the AIS portion of this rulemaking, we expect vessel owners to incur costs for the installation of AIS on board vessels that do not currently have AIS. The vessel groups affected are all commercial self-propelled vessels 65 feet or greater (including fishing and passenger vessels), towing vessels 26 feet or greater and over 600 horsepower, vessels carrying 50 or more passengers or certain dangerous cargoes; dredges and certain high speed passenger craft; operating on U.S. navigable waters. We estimate that the number of vessels affected by the AIS portion of this rulemaking is approximately 17,400 foreign and domestic vessels. The NOA and AIS populations will be reconciled in the regulatory analysis.

We anticipate unquantified benefits will be associated with both portions of this rulemaking. We anticipate that quantified benefits derived from marine casualty cases will be associated with the AIS portion of this rulemaking. A detailed benefit analysis will be included in the regulatory analysis.

#### Risks:

In terms of threat, vulnerability, and consequence, there are few more valuable and vulnerable targets for terrorist attack than the U.S. Maritime Transportation System (MTS). Considering the economic utility of U.S. ports, waterways, and coastal approaches, it is clear that a terrorist incident against our MTS would have a disastrous impact on global shipping, international trade, and the world economy. This rulemaking is instrumental in expansion of MDA and consequently instrumental in reduction of those risks posed by terrorist actions against the MTS.

### Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	02/00/06	

# Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:

Undetermined

# **Government Levels Affected:**

None

#### **Additional Information:**

With regard to the legal deadline, we have indicated in past notices and rulemaking documents, and it remains the case, that we have worked to

coordinate implementation of AIS MTSA requirements with the development of our ability to take advantage of AIS data (68 FR 39355-56, and 39370, July 1, 2003).

# **Agency Contact:**

LTJG Julie Miller Project Manager, G–MPP Department of Homeland Security U.S. Coast Guard 2100 Second Street SW. Washington, DC 20593 Phone: 202 267–0069

Jorge Arroyo Project Manager, G–MWV Department of Homeland Security U.S. Coast Guard 2100 Second Street SW. Washington, DC 20593–0001 Phone: 202 267–6277

**RIN:** 1625–AA99 BILLING CODE 4410–10–S